

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/832,844	04/12/2001		Shunpei Yamazaki	740756-2288 2849	
22204	7590	05/21/2002			
NIXON PE			EXAMINER		
8180 GREENSBORO DRIVE SUITE 800				TRAN, MINH LOAN	
MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2826	-2
				DATE MAILED: 05/21/2002	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	•	09/832,844	YAMAZAKI, SHUNPEI				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	·	Minhloan T. Tran	2826				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period verous to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. It the mailing date of this communication. ID (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 A	April 2001 .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
·	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application	•					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers	r election requirement.					
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) 🔲 🗀	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to by the Exa	miner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•					
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>07/811,063</u> .						
* 8	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_				
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •	.*				
Attachment	r(s)		.*				
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2-</u>	5) Notice of Informal I	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/832,844

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 07/811,063 filed on 12/20/1991.

Oath/Declaration

2. The oath/declaration filed on 04/12/2001 is acceptable.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The Information Disclosure Statements filed on 01/07/2002, 01/10/2002, 05/18/2001, 05/10/2001, 04/12/2001 have been considered.

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the display device having a driver circuit for switching the n-channel thin film transistors are all n-channel thin film transistors as recited in claims 1, 4, 7, 11, 15, and all of the first and second TFTs are PTFTs as recited in claims 19, 22, 26 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Application/Control Number: 09/832,844

Art Unit: 2826

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 5. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 6. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is not clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The specification does not disclose a driver circuit for switching the first n-channel TFTs wherein the driver circuit comprising all n-channel TFTs as recited in claims 1, 4, 7, 11, 15; a driver circuit for switching the first n-channel TFTs wherein the driver circuit comprising all p-channel TFTs as recited in claim 19; and a driver circuit for

Application/Control Number: 09/832,844

Art Unit: 2826

switching the first p-channel TFTs wherein the driver circuit comprising all p-channel TFTs as recited in claims 22, 26.

Double Patenting

8. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-30 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of copending Application No. 09/229,677; as being unpatentable over claims 1-55 of copending Application No. 09/448,463 and as being unpatentable over claims 1-6, 19-24 of copending Application No. 09/448,756. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both of the inventions disclose a driver circuit for switching the TFTs of the active matrix circuit wherein the driver circuit comprising a plurality of thin film transistors. Applicant's claims 1-30 recite that all of a plurality of TFTs of the driver circuit are n-channel type TFTs, and they do not recite that all of a plurality of TFTs of the driver circuit are p-channel type TFTs.

Art Unit: 2826

Page 5

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to replace the nchannel TFTs with the p-channel TFTs because n-channel TFTs and P-channel TFTs can be interchanged.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minhloan T. Tran whose telephone number is (703) 308-4919. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00 AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. Flynn can be reached on (703) 308-6601. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Mlt 05/2002 Minhloan T. Tran **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 2826

abrillon Esr. ~